UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE ·	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/536,527	08/12/2005	Jerzy W. Chojnacki	02635/0202946-US0	9087	
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 12/28/2006 ARBY P.C.	EXAMINER			
P. O. BOX 5257			FRANCIS, FAYE		
NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•		3725			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	12/28/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

8	p
v	V

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/536,527	CHOJNACKI, JE	CHOJNACKI, JERZY W.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Faye Francis	3725					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1 .						
	_	This action is non-final.						
′=	Since this application is in condition for a		matters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the a	oplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideratior	1.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected.		·					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requiremen	t.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🖂 :	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 May 2005</u> is/a		objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in al	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the dra	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-6 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/24/05</u> .	Pape 5) Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date be of Informal Patent Application r:					

Application/Control Number: 10/536,527 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means", "said," and "comprising" should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of legal phraseology [note the word "comprising" throughout the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,527

Art Unit: 3725

4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. For example **only:**

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the main worm gear" and "the knife extension" in lines 7 and 11 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

With respect to claim 1: a broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation two separate knife extension actuated separately, and the claim also

recites <u>preferably</u> by two separate..., which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

With respect to claim 1: it is not clear how many motors being encompassed in the claim since in claim 1 line 8 from the bottom the claim recites "an electric motor" and in line 4 from the bottom the claim also recites "electric motors".

The noted examples of indefiniteness not withstanding, the Prior Art of record does not appear to show a cutting machine having all of the elements of the claim 1.

Accordingly, a claim having the same scope as claim 1, if presented for examination in response to this Office Action, would be considered to avoid the prior art.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Francis whose telephone number is 571-272-4423. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,527

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FF

Faye Francis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3725

Page 5